



## Piecing Together Systematic Reviews: Phases 5 – 8

By Margaret Foster

### Phase 5: Preservation

#### Data Management for Systematic Reviews

[Data Management for Systematic Reviews: Guidance is Needed](#)

[Data Management Plan Template: Systematic Reviews](#)

[Guide to the DMP Assistant Template for Systematic Review Projects](#)

[A competency framework for librarians involved in systematic reviews](#)

[Open synthesis: On the need for evidence synthesis to embrace open science.](#)

[FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship](#)

#### Archiving searches

[A suggested data structure for transparent and repeatable reporting of bibliographic searching](#)

[Get Credit for your Searches: Our Experiences Using searchRxiv](#)

[searchrecorder](#)

[searchrecorder: A tool for transparent reporting of search histories within reference files](#)

### Phase 6: Promotion

[Checklist and Guidance for disseminating findings from Cochrane intervention reviews.](#)

[Choosing images for sharing evidence: a guide](#)

[Cochrane Collaboration: Dissemination Essentials \(YouTube\)](#)

[Getting the Word Out: New Approaches for Disseminating Public Health Science.](#)

[Dissemination & Implementation models in Health](#)

[CDC Guidance on writing policy briefs](#)

[Choosing images for sharing evidence: a guide](#)



## Phase 7: Impact

[Getting the Word Out: New Approaches for Disseminating Public Health Science](#)

[Investigating the impact of systematic reviews funded by DFID](#)

## Phase 8: Update

[When and how to update systematic reviews: consensus and checklist](#)

[Updating systematic reviews](#)

[What is a living systematic review?](#)

[Standards for the planning and conduct of updates of Cochrane Intervention Reviews](#)

## Logic Model Citations

Standards calling for librarians to be collaborators: National Academy of Medicine, Cochrane Collaboration, and PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses)-international reporting guideline for reviews used by many biomedical journals.

[Librarian co-authors correlated with higher quality reported search strategies in general internal medicine systematic reviews.](#)

[Impact of librarians on reporting of the literature searching component of pediatric systematic reviews.](#)

[Use of recommended search strategies in systematic reviews and the impact of librarian involvement: a cross-sectional survey of recent authors.](#)

[Is quality and completeness of reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses published in high impact radiology journals associated with citation rates?.](#)

[Meta-analysis and the science of research synthesis.](#)

[Open synthesis: On the need for evidence synthesis to embrace open science.](#)

[Systematic review search strategies are poorly reported and not reproducible: a cross-sectional metaresearch study.](#)

[The significant cost of systematic reviews and meta-analyses: A call for greater involvement of machine learning to assess the promise of clinical trials.](#)