NNLM All of Us CEC Community Award: Evaluation Rubric Preview

Community Award applications will be evaluated using the following criteria. Please refer to this document when developing your application to preview how the review panel will evaluate your project plans. If you have any questions about the evaluation process, please contact us using the [CEC Award Interest Form](#) or email us at [lib-nnlmallofus@uiowa.edu](#).

Section I. Significance

Criteria	Scoring					
S1. A primary intended UBR population is clearly identified	☐ No (0)　　☐ Yes (5)					
	Missing	1	2	3	4	5
S2. Application contributes to NNLM and *All of Us* goals and objectives.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
S3. Application effectively uses evidence to clearly explains the need for the project that is linked to the needs of the intended UBR population	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Section II. Methodology

Criteria	Scoring					
M1. Application includes a letter of commitment from at least one partner serving the intended UBR community for the project	☐ No (0)　　☐ Yes (5)					
M2. Application includes a letter of commitment from organization leadership to support the project	☐ No (0)　　☐ Yes (5)					
IF DIA, application includes a letter of commitment from IT leadership of the organization committing support to accomplish the project goals	☐ No (0)　　☐ Yes (5)					
	Missing	1	2	3	4	5
M3. Application demonstrates the ability to execute the project within the proposed timeline	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Criteria	Scoring					
M4. Application integrate NLM and *All of Us* materials and products, if available	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
M5. Application clearly outlines: • Objectives • Milestones • Activities • Methods that are feasible and relevant to the project and is mapped out to the timeline	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
IF DIA: Application demonstrates the need for the specific technology to be used/purchased	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
IF DIA: Application provides a long-term plan to sustain and support the availability, use, and maintenance of purchased technology by the funded organization	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Section III. Evaluation

Criteria	Scoring					
	Missing	1	2	3	4	5
E1. Application provides SMART project Objectives	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
E2. Application provides Planned Evaluation Methods that include: • Types of data to be collected • Data collection instruments	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Section IV. Project Staff

Criteria	Scoring					
	Missing	1	2	3	4	5
P1. Application clearly explains qualifications (expertise and experience) of the project lead and the project staff	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

P2. Application clearly identifies and outlines roles and time commitment of the project lead, project staff, (and project partners, if needed) as identified using the RISC methods	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Section V. Budget

Criteria	Scoring
B1. Proposed budget includes ONLY expenses that are allowable under the Regional Medical Library or Office and NIH regulations	☐ No (0) ☐ Yes (5)
B2. Proposed budget and expenses are justified in the narrative	☐ No (0) ☐ Yes (5)

Total Score:

Is the applicant a new NNLM member? Yes   or   No

Has the applicant received an award from the NNLM before? Yes   or   No

Comments: