



# National Library of Medicine

Network of the National Library of Medicine

## Reviewer Scorecard: NNLM Health Information Outreach RFP (Express)

Please direct any questions about this scorecard to your Regional Medical Library contact or to the NNLM National Evaluation Center [Evaluation and Continuous Improvement Team](#).

### NNLM Regional Medical Library or Office:

\* must provide value

- Region 1: Delaware, Kentucky, Maryland, New Jersey, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, and District of Columbia
- Region 2: Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, South Carolina, Tennessee, Puerto Rico, and U.S. Virgin Islands
- Region 3: Arkansas, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, Nebraska, Oklahoma, and Texas
- Region 4: Arizona, Idaho, Colorado, Montana, New Mexico, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, and Wyoming
- Region 5: Alaska, California, Hawaii, Nevada, Oregon, Washington, and U.S. Territories and Freely Associated States
- Region 6: Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Wisconsin
- Region 7: Connecticut, Massachusetts, Maine, New Hampshire, New York, Rhode Island, and Vermont
- NLM User Engagement Program (UEP)
- NNLM Evaluation Center (NEC)
- NNLM Public Health Coordination Office (NPHCO)
- NNLM Training Office (NTO)
- NNLM Web Services Office (NWSO)
- NNLM National Center for Data Services (NCDS)
- NNLM All of Us Program Center (NAPC)

### Title of Applicant's Project or Proposal:

### Applicant's Institution:

### Reviewer's Initials:

### Significance (10 points maximum)

|  | Poor (1 point)        | Fair (2 points)       | Good (3 points)       | Very Good (4 points)  | Excellent (5 points)  |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Application clearly explains the need for the project including demographic information about the target population or geographic area. (5 points) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Application contributes to NNLM goals and objectives. See [NNLM goals and objectives](#). (5 points)

**Methodology/Approach (20 points maximum)**

|   | Poor (1 point)        | Fair (2 points)       | Good (3 points)       | Very Good (4 points)  | Excellent (5 points)  |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Application proposes an approach that is suitable for the target population and geographic area and includes data to support this. (5 points) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Application clearly outlines activities, milestones, and methods that are feasible and relevant to the project. (5 points)                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Application provides a rationale for selecting the proposed approach(es) and includes the use of NLM products where appropriate. (5 points)   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Application provides an effective plan to promote the project. (5 points)   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

**Evaluation (5 points maximum)**

|   | Poor (1 point)        | Fair (2 points)       | Good (3 points)       | Very Good (4 points)  | Excellent (5 points)  |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Evaluation plan is well aligned with the project goals and objectives and clearly indicates how to measure success and project outcomes. (5 points) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

**Project Staff (5 points maximum)**

|   | Poor (1 point)        | Fair (2 points)       | Good (3 points)       | Very Good (4 points)  | Excellent (5 points)  |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Application clearly explains qualifications, roles and time commitment of the project staff. (5 points) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

**Budget (5 points maximum)**

|  | Poor (1 point)        | Fair (2 points)       | Good (3 points)       | Very Good (4 points)  | Excellent (5 points)  |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Proposed budget is appropriate with a budget narrative that justifies expenses. (5 points) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

**First-Time Applicant (5 points maximum)**

Applicant is a first-time applicant within the five-year funding period\*.

No (0 points)  
 Yes (5 points)

\*First-time applicant criteria not applicable for external reviewers

**Summary and Recommendation for Funding**

**Summary of Primary Strengths:**

This information will be shared with the applicant

**Summary of Primary Weaknesses:**

This information will be shared with the applicant

**Other Comments:**

**Total Score:**

**Final Recommendation for Funding:**

\* must provide value

- Application does not fit in the NNLM mission.
- The project will not advance the goals of NNLM.
- Limited impact or there are concerns about the proposed plan or quality.
- Has strong potential.
- Excellent Application. Will have major impact on NNLM goals.

Submit