



National Library of Medicine

Network of the National Library of Medicine

Reviewer Scorecard: NNLM Professional Development RFP

Please direct any questions about this scorecard to your Regional Medical Library contact or to the NNLM National Evaluation Center [Evaluation and Continuous Improvement Team](#).

NNLM Regional Medical Library or Office:

* must provide value

- Region 1: Delaware, Kentucky, Maryland, New Jersey, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, and District of Columbia
- Region 2: Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, South Carolina, Tennessee, Puerto Rico, and U.S. Virgin Islands
- Region 3: Arkansas, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, Nebraska, Oklahoma, and Texas
- Region 4: Arizona, Idaho, Colorado, Montana, New Mexico, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, and Wyoming
- Region 5: Alaska, California, Hawaii, Nevada, Oregon, Washington, and U.S. Territories and Freely Associated States
- Region 6: Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Wisconsin
- Region 7: Connecticut, Massachusetts, Maine, New Hampshire, New York, Rhode Island, and Vermont
- NLM User Engagement Program (UEP)
- NNLM Evaluation Center (NEC)
- NNLM Public Health Coordination Office (NPHCO)
- NNLM Training Office (NTO)
- NNLM Web Services Office (NWSO)
- NNLM National Center for Data Services (NCDS)
- NNLM All of Us Program Center (NAPC)

Title of Applicant's Project or Proposal:

Applicant's Institution:

Reviewer's Initials:

Significance/Value of Educational Activity (15 points maximum)

	Poor (1 point)	Fair (2 points)	Good (3 points)	Very Good (4 points)	Excellent (5 points)
Proposed activity aligns well with NNLM Goals and Objectives. See NNLM goals and objectives. (5 points)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Proposal provides justification for the activity including why the activity is needed (i.e. describe how the educational activity benefits the individual/s or organization). (5 points)

Proposal is appropriate for intended beneficiary/beneficiaries. (5 points)

Budget (5 points maximum)

Poor (1 point) Fair (2 points) Good (3 points) Very Good (4 points) Excellent (5 points)

Proposed budget is appropriate with a budget narrative that justifies expenses. (5 points)

First-Time Applicant (5 points maximum)

Applicant is a first-time applicant within the five-year funding period*. (5 points)

No (0 points)
 Yes (5 points)

* First-time applicant criteria not applicable for external reviewers

Summary and Recommendation for Funding

Summary of Primary Strengths:

[Empty text box for Summary of Primary Strengths]

This information will be shared with the applicant

Summary of Primary Weaknesses:

[Empty text box for Summary of Primary Weaknesses]

This information will be shared with the applicant

Other Comments:

[Empty text box for Other Comments]

Total Score:

[Empty input field for Total Score]

Final Recommendation for Funding:

* must provide value

- Application does not fit in the NNLM mission.
- The project will not advance the goals of NNLM.
- Limited impact or there are concerns about the proposed plan or quality.
- Has strong potential.

Excellent Application. Will have major impact on NNLM goals.

Submit

Powered by REDCap